

Sample form, not for offline completion.

Visit <https://iop.awardsplatform.com> to enter.

# IOP Institute of Physics

## Max Born Medal and Prize

For outstanding contributions to physics by a physicist based in Germany or the UK/Ireland

The IOP present this award along with the German Physical Society. It was established in 1972 to commemorate German physicist Max Born who died in 1970.

The medal is awarded in even dated years to a physicist based in Germany and presented in the UK or Ireland. In odd-dated years, the award goes to a physicist based in the UK or Ireland and presented in Germany. The councils of each society take turns in selecting the winner from a list of nominees provided by the other.

The award consists of a silver medal and comes with a prize of €3,000 and a certificate.

---

### Details

Nomination name

**Please confirm that you have read the above eligibility and that the nominee/team meets these before starting a nomination**

I confirm that I have read and understood the eligibility and confirm that the nominee/team meets these.

---

### Nominee

Are you nominating yourself or someone else?

Nominating myself

Nominating someone else

Decide if you are nominating yourself or someone else. The IOP welcomes self-nominations for a number of Awards. Nominations submitted by a nominator are still welcome. Additional information regarding the nominee will be collected. If you are nominating yourself, we would recommend that you complete your application in third person

Are you submitting a nomination as part of an IOP committee? If so then please select the relevant group, (optional) nation or branch that this nomination comes with support from.

N/A

Applied Mechanics Group

Astroparticle Physics Group

Atomic and Molecular Interactions Group

Biological Physics Group

BRSg: Magnetic Resonance Group

British Carbon Group

Business Innovation and Growth Group

Combustion Physics Group

Computational Physics Group

...

We strongly welcome nominations from IOP groups, nations and branches. If you are submitting a nomination from an IOP committee please select the relevant committee that this nomination comes with support from.

Most recent occupation or position

Provide details of the most recent occupation or position of the nominee

Organisation, business or institution

Provide the name of the organisation, business or institution where the nominee works

Address including postcode

Provide the address including postcode of the nominee

Phone number

Provide the phone number of the nominee

Qualifications and/or honours (optional)

Provide any qualifications and/or honours of the nominee

## Region and Nation

▼

South West

South East

London

East of England

West Midlands

East Midlands

North West

North East

Yorkshire and Humber

Wales

...

We ask this to review, monitor and also consider how to best achieve geographical diversity of excellence

---

## Citation

Short citation

30 words

The committee will look primarily at the strength of the short and long citation when considering a nomination. The short citation is what is published alongside a recipient's name on our website and in publications to indicate the reason they have received an award. Short citations need to be succinct but, at the same time, informative and accessible to non-specialist audiences. There should be no more than two sentences in the short citation and it should ideally start with for his/her/their/the. For example, • For jointly setting up and directing the Isaac Physics programme which has revolutionised physics education for teachers and students in an extraordinary number of UK schools and is now attracting international attention. • For his outstanding contributions to the fundamental study of condensed matter physics, statistical physics and biophysics using model colloidal systems. • For her pioneering method of generating high-intensity, ultrashort optical pulses. • For their contributions to developing the technical service provisions at Caister Academy, by securing funding for pupil activities and setting up a local science technician network, now with nearly sixty members. • For the development of breakthrough snack products through application of soft matter physics.

This is the section in which the case to award should be made. The long citation is also published on our website with the short citation to indicate the reason for receiving an award. Citations should be ordered to start with major achievements, then supporting facts and career details last and should tell the story of what the nominee has done. The citation sets out the grounds for the recommendation and should concentrate only on the nominee's main achievements. The citation should clearly state how the nominee meets the terms of the award, providing examples to support the nomination. When drafting a citation you should consider why you are making the nomination now. It should be clear within the first few lines why a nomination is being made, and the rest of the text should be used to provide evidence to substantiate this. The citation should highlight how the person's contribution has impacted on the organisation or the physics community and the outcomes of their contributions. Remember, if nominating a team, it is essential that individual team members should be credited in the citation.

---

## Biographical statement

The committee will look primarily at the strength of the short and long citation when considering a nomination. However, it is also helpful for the committee to see a short biographical statement.

---

## Supporting evidence

The committee will look primarily at the strength of the short and long citation when considering a nomination. However, other supporting material may be included if relevant to the nomination. If applicable, please provide the nominee's h-index and include a list of their most significant publications or academic papers to demonstrate contributions in their chosen area of endeavour. Please provide a maximum of 10 publications (with dates and citations) and include recent work. For nominees who work in an industrial or business environment or for nominations for the Industrial Medals and Prizes (Katharine Burr Blodgett, Dennis Gabor and Clifford Paterson), support for these nominations should clearly highlight the impact and significance on the organisation of the nominee's or team's achievement. Such evidence may include measures such as patents and IP registered, external funding attracted, projects instigated or implemented, sales achieved or other measures of growth.

---

## References

### Referee requirements

Nominators will be required to seek the support of two referees outside of the nominees' institution department. Referees will be well regarded in the appropriate field, familiar with the work in the citations and be able to comment on the significance of the contribution.

Referees should provide a supporting statement of no more than 300 words to confirm the accuracy of and add detail to the citations provided. Nominators should share their short and long citations with their referees to support this.

All supporting statements must be submitted with the overall nomination by the deadline date and referees will be contacted following submission for confirmation.

Referees cannot be current members of Council, IOP employees, people under contract to the IOP, the Awards Committee, or members of any other awards judging panel.

For Industrial Medals and Prizes (Katharine Burr Blodgett, Dennis Gabor and Clifford Paterson) referees can be from within the nominees' institution department.

For Gold Medals and Prizes, international referees are welcomed but not required.

For the Isaac Newton Medal and Prize, at least two international referees are required, the third referee is optional.

**Referee 1**

Title, first and last name

Provide us with the title, first and last name of the first referee

Job title

Organisation, business or institution

Phone number

Email

Supporting statement

300 words

**Referee 2**

Title, first and last name

Provide us with the title, first and last name of the second referee

Job title

Organisation, business or institution

Phone number

Email

Supporting statement

300 words

# Data protection

The information you submit in this form will be used for the sole purposes of processing your nomination and administering our awards process. In order to do this, selected information will also be shared with the committee in order to evaluate the nominations and select winners.

## **Keep in touch**

(optional)

I consent to the Institute of Physics contacting me by email with information about its Awards programme and about other events, products and services which they believe may be relevant to me. I understand that I can opt-out from these emails at any time by clicking the unsubscribe link in their emails or following the instructions in their [Privacy notice](#).

How did you hear about the Honorary Fellow nominations?

- Email from IOP
- IOP Staff
- IOP Website
- IOP Social Media
- Work colleague
- Word of mouth

How did you hear about the IOP awards?

- Aware of awards cycle from past years
- Email from IOP
- IOP staff
- IOP website
- Social media
- Work colleague
- Word of mouth

## **Your privacy**

We take your privacy seriously and are committed to protecting the personal information you share with us. For more details about how we handle your personal information, please see our [Privacy notice](#).

---

# Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Form

Inclusion and diversity is paramount and we want to celebrate the excellent work of physicists from all backgrounds. Submission of a standard EDI monitoring form is now mandatory.

This section of the nomination/application focuses on your equality, diversity and inclusion (EDI) information. Your EDI data will only be processed by a limited number of essential staff and will always be presented or published in an aggregated and anonymised format. We will use this data to review, monitor and consider how to best achieve diversity excellence in the IOP Awards.

We recognise that some people may regard this information as private and therefore, there is always an option to 'prefer not to say'. The responses that you give will assist us greatly in our commitment to EDI and ensuring the IOP

Awards reflect the full diversity of the Physics community. Your EDI data will not influence the outcome of the nomination/application.

By completing this form, you consent to the IOP using the information you disclose for the purposes set out above. You can withdraw your consent to the IOP holding or using this information in an identifiable way at any time by contacting us as set out in our [Privacy Notice](#).

The IOP takes your privacy seriously and are committed to protecting the personal information you share with us. For more details about how we handle your personal information, and your rights, please see our [Privacy Notice](#).